

Communication from Public

Name: Tracy Cook

Date Submitted: 08/12/2021 08:13 AM

Council File No: 20-1178

Comments for Public Posting: Hello I work in LA county at a non-profit. I am a 30 year old female and I'm very concerned about where this is going. I just want to make something clear! When I'm talking about my understanding of the body, and general understanding of "science" I have taken inorganic Chemistry, organic chemistry, bio chemistry, micro biology, anatomy, physiology, and quantitative biomechanics I have 3 degrees of the study of the body in motion, and I have a Masters degree. (MBA) So I'm not an anti-vax or anti-science. I really do know what I'm talking about. Masks cannot prevent the passage of virus, physically based on size of virus and gap of fabric, it's impossible. Please look for a copy of Dr. Fauci emails stating the same. Separating people based on vaccination status is anti-science and dangerous. This is anti-science because It has been proven that those who have the vaccine have just as much, if not more, viral load and capability to spread Covid as the unvaccinated. Furthermore, this is dangerous for our society for three reasons. The first is that those who are unvaccinated will have reduced access to resources putting them at a disadvantage for life. And creating a system of deprivation based on medical status. The second is that the government and business sector's violation of individual freedom will change the dynamic of our society and country. This is quickly becoming a medial totalitarian state. The third, is that the government mandating a medical treatment is considered a crime against humanity and is a violation of the nuremberg code of medical ethics as well as informed consent laws. In order for consent to take place, all the information must be provided, and there must be no form of force fraud or coercion present. That means that the person must only consider the medical treatment itself and not consider anything outside of the medical treatment for instance, they cannot consider their increase access to resources or that they can go certain places or do certain things as a result of the medical treatment. This is a form of coercion and violates informed consent. here's a great example. If your boss told you that you must have sex with him to go on a business trip, this is not consensual sex. Because coercion is taking place. This person is only giving consent if they are only considering having sex as to why they want to have sex. "Those who would give up essential Liberty, to purchase a little temporary Safety, deserve

neither Liberty nor Safety." Benjamin Franklin My question today is when does this end? Deaths are very low, we now have treatment options, those who want the vaccine have gotten it, and those who don't feel it's right for them have gotten the necessary Information and declined. It is now time to lift the state of emergency which is for "immediate threat of harm". That is no longer the case now that we are 18 months (a year and a half) into this situation. Closing remark: Follow the science and end the state of emergency. Stop inching towards a medical totalitarian state. Participation in society based on medical status is not only anti-science but also dangerous. End this now, and if you love this country and the people who live here you will never allow this government over-reach to ever happen again.